

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/008,233 11/06/2001 H. Holden Thorp 5470-107BDV3 1504 20792 02/24/2003 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC **EXAMINER** PO BOX 37428

RALEIGH, NC 27627

CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1634

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/008,233

Thorp

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634 

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	٠
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
reduce of this confindingation.	
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Nov 7, 2002</i>	·
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims	is
4) X Claim(s) 138-144 is/are pending in the application	ion.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consider	
5) □ Claim(s)is/are allowed	ueranon.
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
are subject to restriction and/or election regu	for some some
Application Papers	iiremem.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
is: a) approved by the	Examiner
approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and the second secon	
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
3. U Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of the priority documents have been a second at the certified copies of	. •
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	
ar I he translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) Information Disclosure State at the Application (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-
Patent and Trademark Office.  (P10-1449) Paper No(s) 6) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Other: Detailed Action	

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 138-142, drawn to a microelectronic device, classified in class 216, subclass 2.
  - II. Claims 143-144, drawn to an oligonucleotide probe, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product an oligonucleotide probe of Group II is deemed to be useful in the microelectronic device of Group I or as a building block or inhibitor of long-chain nucleic acid synthesis or protein synthesis and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/008,233

Art Unit: 1634

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Kenneth Sibley on February 5, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

  Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, who can be reached on (703) 308-1119.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti Patent Examiner Art Unit 1634

February 6, 2003

ARUNK, CHAKRABARTI
PATENT EXAMINED